

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 30 through 89 are pending in the present application.

In the Office Action, claims 46 through 62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9 through 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,484,439.


Applicants sincerely appreciate the indication of allowable subject matter with respect to claims 30 through 45 and 63 through 89.

Accordingly, Applicants are filing a Terminal Disclaimer under 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c)(3) to obviate the double patenting rejection with respect to claims 46 through 62 that includes a provision that any patent granted on the application be enforceable only for and during the period that the patent is commonly owned with the patent that formed the basis of the rejection.

Applicants respectfully request favorable consideration and that the application be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

March 6, 2006
Date



Paul D. Greeley
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 31,019
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, LLP
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
(203) 327-4500